Production of Hexagenia limbata (Serville) and Ephemera simulans Walker (Ephemeroptera) in Dauphin Lake, Manitoba, with a Note on Weight Loss due to Preservatives

Brian A. Heise¹ and John F. Flannagan

Department of Entomology, University of Manitoba, Winnipeg, Man. R3T 2N2 and

Department of Fisheries and Oceans, Central and Arctic Region, Freshwater Institute, 501 University Crescent, Winnipeg, Man. R3T 2N6

and Terry D. Galloway

Department of Entomology, University of Manitoba, Winnipeg, Man. R3T 2N2

Heise, B. A., J. F. Flannagan, and T. D. Galloway. 1988. Production of Hexagenia limbata (Serville) and Ephemera simulans Walker (Ephemeroptera) in Dauphin Lake, Manitoba, with a note on weight loss due to preservatives. Can. J. Fish. Aguat. Sci. 45: 774–781.

Annual production and biomass of Hexagenia limbata (Serville) and Ephemera simulans Walker were estimated from samples taken from May through September of 1982 and 1983 in Dauphin Lake, Manitoba. The size-frequency estimates (± 2 sE) of production for *H. limbata* were 12.6 \pm 2.68 g/m² (wet weight) in 1982 and 10.1 \pm 2.10 g/m² in 1983. Instantaneous growth production estimates were 9.51 and 9.76 g/m² (1982) and 9.49 and 8.55 g/m² (1983) based on life history interpretations of four versus seven cohorts, respectively. Elucidation of complex life histories involving overlapping cohorts may not be necessary in order to make accurate production estimates for similar semivoltine populations. Annual *P/B* ratios for *H. limbata* ranged from 1.68 to 2.38. Production estimates for *E. simulans* in 1983 were 9.02 \pm 3.10 and 9.90 g/m², using the size-frequency and instantaneous growth methods, respectively. Hexagenia limbata weight loss due to preservation in 10% formalin followed by 75% ethanol resulted in a production underestimate of 25%. Length changes of *H. limbata* in the same preservatives were not significant (p > 0.05). Hexagenia limbata was found at all stations having a silt/clay component. Ephemera simulans was found only on a matrix substrate of clay, silt, sand, and gravel.

On a déterminé la production annuelle et la biomasse de Hexagenia limbata (Serville) et de Ephemera simulans Walker à partir d'échantillons recueillis de mai à septembre 1982 et 1983 dans le lac Dauphin (Manitoba). Les estimations de la production selon la fréquence de tailles (± 2 ET) chez H. limbata se situaient à 12,6 \pm 2,68 g/m² (poids humide) et 10,1 \pm 2,10 g/m² en 1982 et 1983 respectivement. D'après une interprétation du cycle vital de 4 et 7 cohortes, la production en terme du taux de croissance instantanée a été fixée à 9,51 et 9,76 g/m² (1982) et 9,49 et 8,55 g/m² (1983) respectivement. L'explication de cycles vitaux complexes comprenant des cohortes chevauchantes peut ne pas être nécessaire afin d'effectuer des estimations précises de la production dans le cas de populations semivoltines semblables. Les rapports annuels P/B chez H. limbata ont varié de 1,68 à 2,38. En 1983, les estimations de la production chez E. simulans s'élevaient à 9,02 \pm 3,10 g/m² et 9,90 g/m², basées respectivement sur les fréquences de tailles et le taux de croissance instantanée. La perte de poids chez H. limbata, entraînée par la conservation dans le formol à 10 % puis dans l'éthanol à 75 %, a mené à une sous-estimation de la production de 25 %. Les variations de la longueur chez H. limbata dans les mêmes agents de conservation n'étaient pas significatives (p > 0,05). Hexagenia limbata était présente à toutes les stations d'échantillonnage où le substrat était composé d'argile et de limon. Par contre, E. simulans n'était présente que sur des substrats consolidés d'argile, de limon, de sable et de gravier.

Received December 19, 1986 Accepted January 7, 1988 (J9054) Reçu le 19 décembre 1986 Accepté le 7 janvier 1988

n 1981 the Department of Fisheries and Oceans (Canada) started a walleye (*Stizostedion vitreum vitreum*) enhancement and rehabilitation project on Dauphin Lake, Manitoba. Techniques such as habitat rehabilitation, fishing regulation adjustments, and hatchery- and pond-reared stock enhancement developed during the pilot project could then be applied to other aquatic habitats (J. F. Flannagan, J. A. Mathias, and W. G. Franzin, Freshwater Institute, Winnipeg, Man., unpubl. rep.). The Dauphin Lake fishery is important both commercially and for sport. However, over the past 50 yr the fishery has changed from one of walleye and lake whitefish (*Coregonus clupeaformis* to primarily northern pike (*Esox lucius*) and white sucker (*Catostomus commersoni*). The walleye catch has declined from 202 169 kg, with a landed value of \$68 288 in 1947-48 (Malaher 1948), to 10 200 kg worth \$15 100 in 1982-83

^{&#}x27;Current address: Department of Zoology, University of Toronto, Toronto, Ont. M5S 1A1.

(V. Grande, L. Inkster, and D. Topolniski, Freshwater Institute, Winnipeg, Man., unpubl. data). One aspect of the rehabilitation project was the identification and quantification of the benthic fauna of the lake.

The burrowing mayflies *Hexagenia limbata* (Serville) and *Ephemera simulans* Walker are dominant members of the macrobenthic community, are known to be consumed by various species of fish including walleye (Neave 1932; Hunt 1953; Britt 1962; Ryder and Kerr 1978), and, because of their large size, are potentially important fish food items in Dauphin Lake. Published estimates of *H. limbata* production range from 3.09 to 11.5 g/m² (wet weight) and may depend on the animals' life history and type of lake (Hudson and Swanson 1972; Horst and Marzolf 1975; Riklik and Momot 1982; Flannagan and Cobb 1984). There are no published production estimates for *E. simulans*. The primary objective of the current research was to estimate production and biomass for these two species.

Hexagenia limbata has a complicated life cycle of multiple overlapping cohorts in Dauphin Lake (Heise et al. 1987). A second objective of this study was to assess the influence of a simple (four cohorts present over 2 yr) versus a complex (seven cohorts present) interpretation of the life history of H. limbata on the calculation of the production estimates for this species.

A third objective was to determine the effect of length and weight changes caused by preserving fluids on H. limbata production. Preservatives result in various degrees of weight loss in invertebrates (Howmiller 1972; Stanford 1973; Donald and Paterson 1977; Giberson and Galloway 1985), but the effects have not been examined for H. limbata.

Study Area

Dauphin Lake is a relatively large (519.3 km²) prairie lake located in southwestern Manitoba (51°15′23″N, 99°46′12″W). The lake is thoroughly mixed at all times during the ice-free period due to wind action and the lake's shallow depth (maximum 3.5 m, mean 2.1 m). There is a control structure on the outflow which restricts annual lake level fluctuations to 0.5 m. From May to October 1982 and from May to September 1983, bottom temperature varied from 2.4 to 24.8°C, dissolved oxygen from 73.6 to 140.0% saturation, pH from 7.89 to 8.91, and total suspended solids from 2 to 363 mg/L (J. Babaluk and M. Friesen, Freshwater Institute, Winnipeg, Man., pers. comm.). Water samples taken under the ice in 1982 and 1983 contained dissolved oxygen levels of 77.8–162.6% saturation.

The lake bottom was divided into three zones based on sediment particle size. Description of sediments follows the sandsilt-clay ratio nomenclature of Shepard (1954). All except one of the offshore stations were clayey silt or silty clay. Stations at river mouths along the west shore were sandy silt or silty sand, and stations along the rocky east and north shorelines were heterogeneous, with sediments ranging from silt, sand, and gravel to rocks approximately 0.5 m in diameter. The sediments at the exceptional offshore station were either a clay/silt mixture or of the heterogeneous matrix type.

Materials and Methods

Preservative Effects

Fifty-five *H. limbata* nymphs collected on 23-25 June 1982 were held individually in vials containing dechlorinated water for 4-7 d to allow evacuation of the gut. Fresh blotted wet

| Table | 1. | Mean | perc | ent | wet | weight | loss | (± 9) | 95% | CL) | of H | lexag | enia |
|---------|-----|--------|------|-----|-------|----------|-------|-----------|-----|------|-------|-------|------|
| limbata | n | ymphs, | by s | æx, | afte | r preser | vatio | n in | 10% | form | nalir | and | 10% |
| formali | n Í | ollowe | d by | 759 | % etl | nanol. | | | | | | | |

| | Formalin | Formalin followed by ethanol |
|--------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------------|
| All sexes combined | 5.5 ± 2.9 | 24.9 ± 2.4 |
| Males | 4.0 ± 4.3 7.6 ± 4.2 | 23.0 ± 3.8 26.2 ± 3.4 |
| Immatures* | 1.9 ± 17.6 | 24.0 ± 13.2 |

"Too young to sex.

weight was measured to the nearest 0.1 mg on a Mettler AE 160 balance. Total body length was measured to the nearest 0.25 mm using an ocular micrometer. Nymphs were placed into 10% formalin for 1 yr then reweighed and remeasured, and placed into 75% ethanol for 12 d and once again weighed and measured.

Sampling

Twenty-one sample stations were arranged along transects originating at the mouths of major inflowing rivers, in order to complement benthic faunal studies of these rivers (Cobb and Flannagan 1987). Offshore stations were located by compass triangulation using onshore landmarks.

Triplicate grab samples were taken randomly at each station monthly from May to October in 1982 and from May to September in 1983. A tall modified Ekman grab (Burton and Flannagan 1973) with a semiautomatic release mechanism (Burton 1974) was used on clayey silt and sand substrates. On the matrix substrate a pneumatic grab sampler (Burton et al. 1985) was used during all but the first 2 mo.

Samples were sieved in the field through 200- μ m-mesh Nitex® screens, fixed and preserved in 10% formalin, and transferred to 75% ethanol in the laboratory. Samples with a high organic matter content were hand-picked under low power of a dissecting microscope. Samples with a low organic content and large particle size were floated in a sucrose solution (S.G. = 1.20 - 1.25) and sorted using a magnifying lens. The amount of time nymphs spent in each preservative varied from approximately 4 to 11 mo in formalin and 1 to 4 wk in ethanol.

Total body length of nymphs was measured from the tip of the frontal projection to the base of the caudal filaments. All measurements were made using an ocular micrometer.

Hexagenia limbata nymphs longer than approximately 9 mm were sexed using the interior angle of the eyes (Neave 1932) and the presence or absence of male genitalia. Ephemera simulans nymphs longer than approximately 8 mm were sexed using the latter method only.

Production Calculations

Blotted wet weight of preserved nymphs was measured to the nearest 0.1 mg on a Mettler AE 160 balance. Total length versus preserved wet weight linear regressions were calculated for *H. limbata* (ln wet weight = 2.99 ln length -11.5, n = 80, $R^2 = 0.99$) and for *E. simulans* (ln wet weight = 3.13 ln length -12.0, n = 33, $R^2 = 0.99$). These equations were then used to predict the weight of unweighed nymphs, based on their total body length. Mean weights used in production calculations were increased by a factor of 1.2488 (see Results section below) to correct for weight loss due to preservatives.

TABLE 2. Monthly mean density $(no./m^2)$ of *Hexagenia limbata* nymphs in each substrate zone in Dauphin Lake, Manitoba.

| Date | Sandy | Offshore clay/silt | Heterogeneous matrix |
|------------|-------|-----------------------|-------------------------|
| May 1982 | 0 | 152.8 | 0 |
| June 1982 | 2.8 | 98.6 | 5.2 |
| July 1982 | 8.3 | 74.5 | 12.5 |
| Aug. 1982 | 25.0 | 106.5 | 128.5 |
| Sept. 1982 | 11.1 | 94.9 | 59.0 |
| May 1983 | 0 | 123.8 | 24.3 |
| June 1983 | 0 | 46.7 | 23.5 |
| July 1983 | 0 | 70.7 | 10.6 |
| Aug. 1983 | 0 | 63.8 | 109.0 |

The instantaneous growth (Waters 1977) and size-frequency (Hynes and Coleman 1968; Hamilton 1969) methods of production calculation were used. For the size-frequency method the cohort production interval (CPI) correction of Benke (1979) was used and confidence intervals calculated using the method of Krueger and Martin (1980). Production estimates were calculated for *H. limbata* for 1982 and 1983. *Ephemera simulans* production was calculated for 1983 only, due to the small number of nymphs of this species collected in 1982. The instantaneous growth estimate for *E. simulans* was based on one cohort only due to the small number of nymphs collected for the older cohort.

The sand, clay/silt, and heterogeneous matrix substrates made up approximately 2.7, 95.1, and 2.2%, respectively, of the whole lake area. Biomass and production estimates of H. *limbata* were made for the silt/clay and matrix substrates only. *Ephemera simulans* estimates were made for the matrix substrate only. Whole-lake production estimates were made using the area of the entire lake.

Hexagenia limbata in Dauphin Lake has a complex life history of seven overlapping cohorts present during a 2-yr period (Heise et al. 1987). The population at first appeared to have a simple 2-yr life cycle with only four cohorts present, but by examining wing pad developmental stages in addition to length frequency and emergence data, seven cohorts were detected. Many studies of *H. limbata* populations with overlapping cohorts have found simpler life histories with fewer cohorts (Rutter and Wissing 1975; Riklik and Momot 1982; Schloesser and Hiltunen 1984). Instantaneous growth production of *H. limbata* was calculated using both a complex (seven cohorts present) and a simple (four cohorts present) division of cohorts in order to assess the impact of these two different life history interpretations on the production estimate.

Results

Preservative Effects

Length changes of *H*. limbata nymphs in formalin and formalin followed by ethanol, calculated as a percentage of fresh length, were not significant (p > 0.05) regardless of sex. Weight losses in nymphs held in formalin followed by ethanol, calculated as a percentage of fresh weight, were significant (p < 0.05) and much greater than weight losses in formalin only, averaging 24.9% overall (Table 1). There was no clear



FIG. 1. Seasonal fluctuation in mean density and wet weight biomass of *Hexagenia limbata* in Dauphin Lake, Manitoba, in 1982 and 1983.

relationship between percent weight loss in formalin followed by ethanol and fresh total length of nymphs.

Substrate Preference

With the exception of one sample on one date, H. limbata nymphs were found in substrates having a clay/silt fraction. This included all offshore clay/silt stations and the matrix east shore. The density of nymphs in these two substrate types was usually similar (Table 2). On 12 occasions, nymphs were found at sandy west shore stations, but in 11 of these cases the substrate in the samples had some clay or silt component.

Nymphs of *E. simulans* were found only at stations along the heterogeneous matrix east and north shores. Mean densities per square metre were 63.4 (range = 0-121.5) in 1982 and 129.7 (range = 39.6-269.4) in 1983.

Biomass and Production

Hexagenia limbata

The seasonal pattern of *H. limbata* densities was similar in 1982 and 1983 (Fig. 1). Densities decreased between June and July concurrent with the major summer emergence and increased between July and August as the newly hatched nymphs appeared in the population. Numbers declined again in the fall as a second, smaller emergence took place. Densities at stations ranged from 0 to $2331/m^2$ and standard deviations from 85 to 143% of the mean.

The mean biomass curves for the 2 yr were very different (Fig. 1), with the maximum values occurring in June in 1982 and August in 1983. In June 1982 the larger cohort was still present in the lake whereas most of the corresponding cohort had emerged by the June sampling date in 1983.

The annual production estimates for *H. limbata* calculated using the instantaneous growth method with a simple, and a complex, interpretation of cohort structure were very similar. For 1982 the complex estimate was 9.76 g/m² (Table 3) and the simple estimate was 9.51 g/m² (Table 4). In 1983 the simple estimate was 9.49 g/m² and the complex estimate was 8.55 g/m^2 .

The size-frequency method, which does not require the identification of cohorts, produced estimates of 12.6 ± 2.68 g/m² for 1982 (Table 5) and 10.1 ± 2.10 g/m² for 1983. Both of

| Sample date | No./m ² | Mean wet weight (mg) | Biomass (mg/m²) | Mean biomass (mg) | Inst. growth rate (G) | Production (mg wet weight/m ²) |
|----------------|--------------------|----------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------|--|
| | | | Cohort 1 | | | |
| May | 38.15 | 146.2 | 5 579 | 5004 | | |
| June | 47.71 | 217.7 | 10 388 | 7984 | 0.398 | 3178 |
| July | 0.816 | 238.8 ^b | 194.9 | 5292 | 0.092* | 488.8 |
| Aug | 0 | | | 97.47 | 0.430° | 41.92 |
| - | | | Cohort 2 | | | |
| May | 7.804 | 15.70 | 122.5 | | | |
| June | 5.076 | 55.76 | 283.1 | 202.8 | 1.268 | 257.1 |
| July | 8.980 | 59.69 | 536.0 | 409.5 | 0.068 | 27.87 |
| Aug. | 5.203 | 155.1 | 807.0 | 671.5 | 0.955 | 641.2 |
| Sept. | 4,135 | 234.8 | 971.1 | 889.1 | 0.415 | 368.7 |
| | | | Cohort 3 | | | |
| Mav | 45.96 | 1.402 | 64.43 | | | |
| Iune | 41 62 | 11.18 | 465.5 | 265.0 | 2.077 | 550.2 |
| Inte | 37 55 | 13.85 | 520.3 | 492.9 | 0.214 | 105.6 |
| Aug | 44 20 | £1.74 | 0 741 | 1630 | 1.494 | 2437 |
| Aug. | 44.39 | 01.74 | L 141 | 3606 | 0.412 | 1485 |
| Sept. | 47.97 | 93.21 | 4 4/1 | | | |
| F 1 | 4.083 | 0.210 | Lonori 4 | | | |
| July | 4.082 | 0.319 | 1.301 | 9.325 | 2.477 | 23.10 |
| Aug. | 4.569 | 3.797 | 17.35 | 78.14 | 1.710 | 133.6 |
| Sept. | 6.617 | 21.00 | 138.9 | | | |
| | | | Cohort 5 | | | |
| Aug. | 30.68 | 0.335 | 10.28 | 18.12 | 1.281 | 23.22 |
| Sept. | 21.50 | 1.207 | 25.95 | | | |
| | | | | | Total (cohorts 1- | -5) = 9761 |

| TABLE 3. | Annual production | n of <i>Hexagenia</i> | <i>limbata</i> in | Dauphin | Lake for | 1982 using 1 | the instantaneous |
|----------|-------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|---------|----------|--------------|-------------------|
| growth m | ethod and assumin | g a complex co | ohort struct | ure. | | • | |

*Calculated using males only.

*Extrapolated from G for June-July and June mean weights.

Based on assumed growth to maximum observed weight.

these estimates are higher than the corresponding instantaneous growth estimates for each year.

Calculation of production using the size-frequency method with separate sexes, using a "times loss factor" (Hamilton 1969) of 6 for males and 7 for females, resulted in estimates of 11.0 g/m² for 1982 and 8.34 g/m² for 1983. Both of these estimates are lower than the corresponding size-frequency estimate using the sexes combined.

The mean production estimates from all four methods for 1982 and 1983 were 10.72 and 9.12 g/m², respectively. These estimates became 10.43 and 8.87 g/m² for 1982 and 1983, respectively, when the sandy shoreline substrate, which was not inhabited by *H. limbata*, was included in the calculation of area. *Hexagenia limbata* production over the entire lake was 5416 metric tonnes (t) in 1982 and 4606 t in 1983.

| Sample date | No./m² | Mean wet weight (mg) | Biomass (mg/m²) | Mean biomass (mg) | Inst. growth rate (G) | Production (mg wet weight/m ²) |
|----------------|--------|----------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------|--|
| <u></u> | | | Cohort 1 | | | |
| May | 38.15 | 146.2 | 5 579 | 8014 | 0 383 | 3067 |
| June | 48.73 | 214.4 | 10 449 | 0014 | 0.565 | 5007 |
| July | 0.816 | 240.9 ^b | 196.7 | 5323 | 0.116ª | 619.0 |
| Aug. | 0 | | | 98.32 | 0.421° | 41.44 |
| | | | Cohort 2 | | | |
| May | 53.76 | 3.477 | 186.9 | 105 C | 3 477 | < 4 1 1 2 |
| June | 45.68 | 15.07 | 688.3 | 437.0 | 1.400 | 641.7 |
| July | 46.53 | 22.70 | 1056 | 872.3 | 0.410 | 357.6 |
| A | 65.04 | 69 61 | AAEC | 2756 | 1.105 | 3044 |
| Aug. | 03.04 | 06.51 | 44.30 | 5016 | 0.341 | 1709 |
| Sept. | 57.90 | 96.31 | 5576 | ~ | | |
| | | | Cohort 3 | | | |
| July | 4.082 | 0.319 | 1.301 | 15.02 | 0 674 | 10, 13 |
| Aug. | 45.96 | 0.625 | 28.74 | 15.02 | 0.674 | 10.12 |
| Sept. | 22.33 | 1.393 | 31.10 | 29.92 | 0.801 | 23.96 |
| | | | | | Total (cohorts 1 | -3) = 9514 |

TABLE 4. Annual production of *Hexagenia limbata* in Dauphin Lake for 1982 using the instantaneous growth method and assuming a simple cohort structure.

Calculated using males only.

^bExtrapolated from G for June–July and June mean weights.

Based on assumed growth to maximum observed weight.

The annual P/\bar{B} ratios and their corresponding production estimates are listed in Table 6. The P/\bar{B} values ranged from 1.7 to 2.4. Cohort P/\bar{B} ratios ranged from 0.832 to 3.58 (complex cohort structure) and from 0.832 to 2.65 (simple cohort structure) in 1982 and from 1.64 to 2.8 (complex) and from 2.06 to 7.75 (simple) in 1983. The lowest value was for a cohort in the few months prior to emergence, and the highest value was for a cohort newly hatched.

Ephemera simulans

Annual production of the one cohort of *E. simulans* in 1983, calculated using the instantaneous growth method, was 9.90 g/m². The size-frequency estimate for all cohorts present during 1983 was 9.02 \pm 3.10 g/m². The mean of the two estimates was 9.46 g/m², or 110 t, in 1983.

The annual P/\bar{B} ratios for *E. simulans*, calculated using the instantaneous growth and size-frequency methods, were 2.94 and 2.15, respectively.

Production estimates for both species combined was possible for 1983 only. Total burrowing mayfly production in the rocky matrix habitat was 18.58 g/m². Whole-lake production was 9.08 g/m² (90.8 kg/ha), or 4716.7 t.

Discussion

Preservative Effects

The weight loss in preservative and subsequent underestimate in production of 25% for *H. limbata* is a large source of bias in the production estimate, one which is not addressed by the confidence limit calculations of Krueger and Martin (1980). Many researchers either do not comment on weight loss effects (e.g. Horst and Marzolf 1975; Flannagan and Cobb 1984), or they rely on shrinkage data for very dissimilar taxa (e.g. Riklik and Momot 1982).

In other studies, preservative effects were related to taxa, type of preservative, and length of time in the preservative (Howmiller 1972; Stanford 1973; Donald and Paterson 1977). All production studies should take this bias into account. The best way to do this is to use fresh weights. A second technique is the application of a correction factor to the preserved weights, as was done in this study. Reducing such systematic errors will increase the comparability of production estimates.

Production

The slightly higher production estimates for *H*. limbata using the size-frequency method compared with the instantaneous

| Size group (mm) | No./m² | Mean weight (mg) | Standing crop (mg/m ²) | No. lost/m² | Geometric mean weight (mg) at loss | Biomass lost (mg/m²) | ×7 production (g/m ²) |
|-----------------------|--------|------------------------|--|----------------|--|----------------------------|---|
| 0-5 | 20.80 | 0.544 | 11.31 | | | | |
| 5-10 | 14.21 | 5,723 | 81.34 | 6.587 | 1.764 | 11.62 | 0.081 |
| 10.15 | 10.04 | <u></u> | 040.0 | 0.371 | 11.92 | 4.419 | 0.031 |
| 10-15 | 13.84 | 24.84 | 543.8 | -6.17 | 41.61 | - 256 | - 1.80 |
| 15–20 | 20.01 | 69.70 | 1395 | 7 709 | 07.00 | 752 0 | 6 077 |
| 20-25 | 12.30 | 137.2 | 1688 | 7.708 | 97.80 | /23.8 | 5.277 |
| 25 20 | 5 003 | 752 1 | 1769 | 7.302 | 186.5 | 1362.0 | 9.531 |
| £3~-30 | 5.005 | 433.4 | 1206 | 2.997 | 315.7 | 946.0 | 6.622 |
| 3035 | 2.007 | 393.3 | 789.2 | 2.006 | 393.3 | 789.2 | 5.524 |
| | | | | | | Tota | 1 = 25.27 |
| | | | 365 | | | | |

TABLE 5. Annual production of *Hexagenia limbata* in Dauphin Lake for 1982 using the size-frequency method.

Annual production = $25.27 \times \frac{303}{730^{\circ}} = 12.63 \pm 2.68^{\circ}$

"This is the cohort production interval (CPI) of Benke (1979).

Confidence interval = ± 2 standard errors, calculated using the method of Krueger and Martin (1980).

TABLE 6. Summary of production (g/m^2) and annual P/B ratio estimates for *Hexagenia limbata* in Dauphin Lake for 1982 and 1983.

| Calculation method | 1982 | 1983 |
|-----------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------|
| Instantaneous | Prod. = 9.51 | 9.49 |
| growth (simple) | $P/\bar{B} = 1.68$ | 2.38 |
| Instantaneous | Prod. = 9.76 | 8.55 |
| growth (complex) | $P/\bar{B} = 1.78$ | 2.05 |
| Size-frequency | $Prod. = 12.6 \pm 2.68^{\circ}$ | $10.1 \pm 2.10^{\circ}$ |
| (sexes together) | $P/\bar{B} = 2.26$ | 2.32 |
| Size-frequency | Prod. = 11.0 | 8.34 |
| (sexes separate) | $P/\bar{B} = 2.04$ | 2.11 |

Confidence interval = ± 2 standard errors.

growth method are consistent with the findings in other studies (Hudson and Swanson 1972; Waters and Crawford 1973; Cushman et al. 1978; Riklik and Momot 1982). However, all of the production estimates within each year were within the 95% confidence interval of the size-frequency estimate.

Differences between the production estimates for 1982 and 1983 were small, ranging from 0 to 32% depending on the method of calculation. Annual fluctuations in production could result from changes in the growth rate of nymphs, caused by fluctuation in temperature and the food supply. One of the assumptions of the size-frequency method is that all individuals reach the same maximum size (Hamilton 1969), a condition which is not met in *H. limbata* due to sexual dimorphism. This is the most likely reason for the slightly lower estimate resulting from the calculation of sexes separately (Table 6).

Accurate determination of voltinism and length of the life cycle is essential to cohort based production methods (Waters 1979), so the agreement between estimates based on simple and complex cohort structure was unexpected. The differences

Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci., Vol. 45, 1988

among cohorts in the *H. limbata* population in Dauphin Lake were probably not large enough to influence the production estimate. Elucidation of complex life histories involving overlapping cohorts may not be necessary for accurate production estimates in this species.

The mean annual production estimates of 10.43 and 8.87 g/m² for 1982 and 1983, respectively, are among the highest reported for this species. Horst and Marzolf (1975) reported a value of 3.09 g/m² for a population in a Kansas reservoir, and Riklik and Momot (1982) estimated *H. limbata* production at 4.78–5.59 g/m² in Savanne Lake, Ontario. Production of *H. limbata* in Dauphin Lake is also higher than values of 1.0 and 7.07 g/m² in the north basin and narrows, respectively, of nearby Lake Winnipeg (Flannagan and Cobb 1984). However, comparison of production estimates is difficult due to differences in methodology, such as the manner in which weights are measured.

The whole-lake production estimates of 5416 t (1982) and 4606 t (1983) indicate that *H. limbata* provides a large potential food source for fish in the Lake. The high Dauphin Lake values probably result from the high proportion (95.1%) of the total lake area which is suitable habitat for *H. limbata*. The shallow depth of the lake also provides adequate oxygen concentrations to all parts of the lake at all times of the year.

The calculation of burrowing mayfly production in each substrate zone of Dauphin Lake increased the precision of the estimates and facilitate comparisons of production estimates among locations. Production studies should be based on accurate estimates of organism densities in habitat types and of the proportions of the habitats within the study area.

The low annual P/B ratios for H. *limbata* in Dauphin Lake are consistent with reports of values in the range of 2.0-2.6 for semivoltine populations (Riklik and Momot 1982; Flannagan and Cobb 1984). Higher P/B ratios of 3.48-5.38 have been reported for populations that are at least partly univoltine (Horst and Marzolf 1975). Mann (1967) and Waters (1969) predicted P/\bar{B} ratios of approximately 2 for semivoltine and 5 for univoltine populations.

There are few studies of non-ephemerid mayfly production in lentic waters. Annual production of *Brachycercus* sp. in a pond (Benson et al. 1980) was slightly higher, at 1.9 g/m² (dry weight), than in this study. The many studies of mayfly production in lotic waters have found values higher (Waters and Crawford 1973; Hall et al. 1980; MacFarlane and Waters 1982; Krueger and Waters 1983), lower (MacFarlane and Waters 1982; Rodgers 1982; Krueger and Waters 1983; Benke et al. 1984), and approximately the same (Waters 1966; Giberson and Galloway 1985) as those in Dauphin lake.

The production estimates reported here are the first for E. *simulans*, despite the wide North American distribution of this species (Edmunds et al. 1976). The two methods of calculation produced similar results despite the inclusion of only one cohort in the instantaneous growth calculation.

The question of whether the cohort of large individuals present in very low numbers in the spring of 1983 was simply a poor year class, or represented an alternation of weak and strong year classes, is an important one. If the low numbers are "normal," then the calculated production estimates are representative of most years. However, if E. simulans frequently exhibits two equally strong cohorts, as suggested by the strongly bimodal distribution of nymphs in August 1983 (Heise et al. 1987), then the production estimates calculated here should be doubled to represent the "normal" situation in Dauphin Lake. This would result in an estimate of 28.04 g/m² (both species combined). Either way, the combined production of E. simulans and H. limbata in the matrix east shore is very high. The rocky shore habitat in lakes may be analogous to the highly productive "snag" habitat of subtropical blackwater rivers (Benke et al. 1984). Difficulty in sampling this habitat type may be responsible for an underestimate of the productivity of rocky lake shorelines in the literature.

Acknowledgments

This research was part of a walleye rehabilitation project by the Department of Fisheries and Oceans, which provided financial support to the senior author in the form of a research grant. We thank Mr. J. Babaluk and Ms. M. Friesen for their assistance coordinating field crews and for making available unpublished data. Mr. W. Burton provided technical assistance with the sampling equipment. We thank all of the many summer students and technicians from the Freshwater Institute and the Department of Entomology, University of Manitoba, who helped in the field and laboratory and, in particular, S. Cantor, K. Donkersloot, S. Fraser, L. Ross, and D. Wright.

References

- BENKE, A. C. 1979. A modification of the Hynes method for estimating secondary production with particular significance for multivoltine populations. Limnol. Oceanogr. 24: 168–171.
- BENKE, A. C., T. C. VAN ARSDALL, JR., D. M. GILLESPIE, AND F. K. PARRISH. 1984. Invertebrate productivity in a subtropical blackwater river: the importance of habitat and life history. Ecol. Monogr. 54: 25-63.
- BENSON, D. J., L. C. FITZPATRICK, AND W. D. PEARSON. 1980. Production and energy flow in the benthic community of a Texas pond. Hydrobiologia 74: 81-93.
- BRITT, N. W. 1962. Biology of two species of Lake Erie mayflies, Ephoron album (Say) and Ephemera simulans Walker. Bull. Ohio Biol. Surv. 1: 1-70.
- BURTON, W. 1974. A semiautomatic release gear for grabs and corers. J. Fish. Res. Board Can. 31: 1244–1246.

- BURTON, W., AND J. F. FLANNAGAN. 1973. An improved Ekman-type grab. J. Fish. Res. Board Can. 30: 287–290.
- BURTON, W., J. F. FLANNAGAN, AND D. G. COBB. 1985. Description and evaluation of a new, pneumatically operated Ekman-type grab. Can. Tech. Rep. Fish. Aquat. Sci. 1332: vi + 3 p.
- COBB, D. G., AND J. F. FLANNAGAN. 1987. Aquatic invertebrate survey of the Dauphin Lake, Manitoba drainage basin, 1982. Can. Data Rep. Fish. Aquat. Sci. 625: iv + 16 p.
- CUSHMAN, R. M., H. H. SHUGART, JR., S. G. HILDEBRAND, AND J. W. ELWOOD. 1978. The effect of growth curve and sampling regime on instantaneousgrowth, removal-summation, and Hynes/Hamilton estimates of aquatic insect production: a computer simulation. Limnol. Oceanogr. 23: 184-189.
- DONALD, G. L., AND C. G. PATERSON. 1977. Effect of preservation on wet weight biomass of chironomid larvae. Hydrobiologia 53: 75-80.
- EDMUNDS, G. F. JR., S. L. JENSEN, AND L. BERNER. 1976. The mayflies of North and Central America. University of Minnesota Press, Minneapolis, MN. 330 p.
- FLANNAGAN, J. F., AND D. G. COBB. 1984. Production of *Hexagenia limbata* (Serville) and *H. rigida* (McDunnough) in Lake Winnipeg, Manitoba, p. 307-315. In V. Landa, T. Soldán, and M. Tonner. [ed.] Proceedings of the IVth International Conference on Ephemeroptera, Bechyně, Czechoslovakia. CSAV, České Budějovice, Czechoslovakia.
- GIBERSON, D. J., AND T. D. GALLOWAY. 1985. Life history and production of Ephoron album (Say) in the Valley River, Manitoba. Can. J. Zool. 63: 1668-1674.
- HALL, R. J., T. F. WATERS, AND E. F. COOK. 1980. The role of drift dispersal in production ecology of a stream mayfly. Ecology 61: 37-43.
- HAMILTON, A. L. 1969. On estimating annual production. Limnol. Oceanogr. 14: 771–782.
- HEISE, B. A., J. F. FLANNAGAN, AND T. D. GALLOWAY. 1987. Life histories of Hexagenia limbata and Ephemera simulans (Ephemeroptera) in Dauphin Lake, Manitoba. J. N. Am. Benthol. Soc. 6: 230-240.
- HORST, T. J., AND G. R. MARZOLF. 1975. Production ecology of burrowing mayflies in a Kansas reservoir. Int. Ver. Theor. Angew. Limnol. Verh. 19: 3029–3038.
- HOWMILLER, R. P. 1972. Effects of preservatives on weights of some common macrobenthic invertebrates. Trans. Am. Fish. Soc. 101: 743–746.
- HUDSON, P. L., AND G. A. SWANSON. 1972. Production and standing crop of *Hexagenia* (Ephemeroptera) in a large reservoir. Stud. Nat. Sci. (Portales, NM) 1: 1-42.
- HUNT, B. P. 1953. The life history and economic importance of a burrowing mayfly, *Hexagenia limbata*, in southern Michigan lakes. Mich. Dep. Cons. Bull. Inst. Fish. Res. No. 4: 151 p.
- HYNES, H. B. N., AND M. J. COLEMAN. 1968. A simple method of assessing the annual production of stream benthos. Limnol. Oceanogr. 13: 569-573.
- KRUEGER, C. C., AND F. B. MARTIN. 1980. Computation of confidence intervals for the size-frequency (Hynes) method of estimating secondary production. Limnol. Oceanogr. 25: 773-777.
- KRUEGER, C. C., AND T. F. WATERS. 1983. Annual production of macroinvertebrates in three streams of different water quality. Ecology 64: 840– 850.
- MACFARLANE, M. B., AND T. F. WATERS. 1982. Annual production by caddisflies and mayflies in a western Minnesota plains stream. Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci. 39: 1628–1635.
- MALAHER, G. W. 1948. Game and fisheries branch, p. 49-78. In Ann. Rep. Man. Dep. Mines Nat. Resour.
- MANN, K. H. 1967. The cropping of the food supply, p. 243-257. In S. D. Gerking [ed.] The biological basis of freshwater fish production. IBP Symp., Productivity of freshwater communities, Reading, 1966. Wiley, New York, NY.
- NEAVE, F. 1932. A study of the mayflies (*Hexagenia*) of Lake Winnipeg. Contrib. Can. Biol. Fish. 15(Ser.A) 12: 179-201.
- RIKLIK, L., AND W. T. MOMOT. 1982. Production ecology of *Hexagenia lim-bata* in Savanne Lake, Ontario. Can. J. Zool. 60: 2317-2323.
- RODGERS, E. B. 1982. Production of *Caenis* (Ephemeroptera: Caenidae) in elevated water temperatures. Freshwater Invertebr. Biol. 1: 2-16.
- RUTTER, R. P., AND T. E. WISSING. 1975. Distribution, abundance and age structure of a population of the burrowing mayfly, *Hexagenia limbata*, in an Ohio pond. Ohio J. Sci. 75: 7-13.
- RYDER, R. A., AND S. R. KERR. 1978. The adult walleye in the percid community; a niche definition based on feeding behaviour and food specificity, p. 39-41. In R. Kendal [ed.] Selected coolwater fishes of North America. American Fisheries Society, Bethesda, MD.
- SCHLOESSER, D. W., AND J. K. HILTUNEN. 1984. Life cycle of a mayfly Hexagenia limbata in the St. Marys River between Lakes Superior and Huron. J. Great Lakes Res. 10: 435–439.

- SHEPARD, R. P. 1954. Nomenclature based on sand-silt-clay ratios. J. Sediment. Petrol. 24: 151-158.
- STANFORD, J. A. 1973. A centrifuge method for determining live weights of aquatic insect larvae, with a note on weight loss in preservative. Ecology 54: 499-451.
- WATERS, T. F. 1966. Production rate, population density, and drift of a stream invertebrate. Ecology 47: 595–604.

1969. The turnover ratio in production ecology of freshwater invertebrates. Am. Nat. 103: 173-185. 1977. Secondary production in inland waters. Adv. Ecol. Res. 10: 91-164.

- 1979. Influence of benthos life history upon the estimation of secondary production. J. Fish. Res. Board Can. 36: 1425-1430.
- WATERS, T. F., AND G. W. CRAWFORD. 1973. Annual production of a stream mayfly population: a comparison of methods. Limnol. Oceanogr. 18: 286-296.