

Variations in lake-outlet Ephemeroptera, Plecoptera, and Trichoptera communities amongst regions of eastern Newfoundland, Canada

T.M. Lomond and M.H. Colbo

Abstract: Ephemeroptera, Plecoptera, and Trichoptera communities at 23 lake-outlet sites in four regions of boreal eastern Newfoundland, Canada, are described and compared. Faunal richness was low, with a total of 51 taxa collected (14 for Ephemeroptera, 3 for Plecoptera, and 34 for Trichoptera). Hydropsychids (Trichoptera) predominated in both diversity and abundance of taxa. Analysis of variance revealed differences in taxon diversity and abundance among regions, even within the relatively small geographic area studied. Diversity and abundance also varied among sites within regions, despite the low diversity and large number of widespread taxa. The data show that lake outlets are useful for comparative studies, even in areas with a reduced insect fauna.

Résumé : On trouvera ici la description des communautés d'éphémères, plécoptères et trichoptères de 23 émissaires en quatre régions du nord-est de Terre-Neuve, Canada. La faune y était plutôt pauvre et seulement 51 taxons ont été récoltés (14 Ephéméroptères, 3 Plécoptères et 34 Trichoptères). Tant du point de vue de la diversité que de l'abondance, la faune était dominée par les hydropsychides (Trichoptères). Une analyse de variance a révélé des différences de diversité et d'abondance entre les régions, même si la région géographique étudiée était relativement restreinte. Il y avait également des différences de diversité et d'abondance entre les sites au sein d'une région, en dépit de la faible diversité et du grand nombre de taxons à vaste répartition. Les données démontrent que les sorties de lac sont des milieux qui se prêtent bien aux études comparatives, même dans les zones où la faune des insectes est limitée.

[Traduit par la Rédaction]

Introduction

The richness and composition of aquatic insect faunas are the product of many scale-dependent processes. The composition of communities in a particular habitat type at different sites across a biome will depend on regional species-richness patterns as well as on the abiotic and biotic processes occurring within the individual site (e.g., Giller et al. 1994; Hildrew and Giller 1994; Vinson and Hawkins 1998). One goal of the present study was to examine whether significant differences in the composition of aquatic insect communities could be detected across regions within an area of reduced richness of aquatic insect taxa that was dominated by generalists.

Ephemeroptera, Plecoptera, and Trichoptera (EPT) communities were compared at lake-outlet sites among four regions, and among sites within these regions, in northeastern Newfoundland. The island of Newfoundland, located in the northern boreal region, has a reduced taxonomic richness (Larson and Colbo 1983). The limited fauna is attributed to the island's recent glaciation (< 10 000 years BP) and separation by salt water from the mainland faunal source, creating

an effective dispersal barrier for many taxa. Larson and Colbo (1983) described the benthic fauna of Newfoundland and related faunal characteristics to the overall environment. They described the island as having a reduced habitat diversity, with most species in the Newfoundland fauna being generalists, occurring in many types of freshwater habitats. With the exception of a number of plecopteran species, most of the aquatic insect species were distributed across the island.

Sampling for the present study was restricted to lake outlets (in an area 1–5 m downstream of where the water surface breaks at the transition from lentic to lotic). The community in lake-outlet habitats is potentially useful for the study of the taxonomic richness of aquatic insect communities among sites and regions. Lake outlets are unique because they represent the area where the biological and physical characteristics of lotic and lentic habitats merge (Genge 1985). The fauna of lake outlets differs in composition and numerical density from that at downstream sites, in that production of the benthic macroinvertebrate fauna is enhanced and communities are dominated by filter-feeders such as net-spinning caddisflies (Hydropsychidae, Polycentropodidae, Philopotamidae) and black flies (Simuliidae) (Townsend et al. 1983; Bronmark and Malmqvist 1984; Richardson and Mackay 1991).

Thus, a further goal of the present study was to add data to the limited information on aquatic insect communities of lake outlets in northeastern North America, particularly on the island of Newfoundland. Currently, most of the published information on lake outlets pertains to black fly communities (Lewis and Bennett 1974; Colbo 1985; McCreadie and Colbo 1991, 1992; McCreadie et al. 1995). Genge (1985)

Received April 26, 1999. Accepted May 11, 2000.

T.M. Lomond¹ and M.H. Colbo. Department of Biology, Memorial University of Newfoundland, St. John's, NF A1B 3X9, Canada.

¹Author to whom all correspondence should be sent at the following address: Greenhouse and Processing Crops Research Centre, Highway 20, Harrow, ON N0R 1G0, Canada (e-mail: lomondt@em.agr.ca).

studied the ecology of net-spinning Trichoptera in one Newfoundland lake outlet. Larson and Colbo (1983) described macroinvertebrate taxa of the entire island, including streams, and provided a general overview.

Materials and methods

Study area

In four study regions in northeastern Newfoundland, 23 sites in six water catchments were sampled (for a map of the study area refer to Lomond 1997). Larson and Colbo (1983) provide a detailed description of the Newfoundland climate, hydrology, and habitat; the following is a summary.

The Newfoundland climate is cool and humid with a strong seasonal lag in temperature. Precipitation exceeds evapotranspiration across the entire island. The boreal landscape consists of a mixture of barren areas (mainly dwarf shrub heaths, bogs, and shallow fens) and forest sections dominated by balsam fir (*Abies balsamea*). There are a large number of bodies of standing water, owing to low relief and irregularly glaciated topography. Pools, bogs, and fens are abundant. Thus, most water catchments are small and have a low gradient over most of their length, and the water plunges rapidly into the sea. Newfoundland streams are oligotrophic, with expected low biomass, except at lake outlets (Genge 1985). Most aquatic habitats are cool, only occasionally and briefly exceeding 25°C (Larson and Colbo 1983). Waters are generally acidic, with low levels of dissolved materials and limited buffering capacity.

The four study locations were chosen to provide a wide range of environmental characteristics and stream habitats so that broad comparisons could be made. Additional sampling sites were included in May 1996 to provide a wider range of habitat types and a larger sample size. Most sites sampled were narrow, low-order headwater streams located in forested areas, and the substrate consisted of large particles, primarily cobbles or boulders. Lomond (1997) provides detailed site descriptions and region maps.

Seven sites were sampled in the Bonavista region (48°39'N, 53°05'W). The Bonavista headland includes the town of Bonavista surrounded by several small settlements. Bonavista is a naturally harsh environment. The peninsula extends into the ocean and is exposed to cold, high winds. The area is a heathland covered by stunted forest.

Five sites were sampled on Random Island (48°06'N, 53°46'W; 70 km southwest of Bonavista), which is a large (ca. 35 km long) sheltered island in Trinity Bay surrounded by two narrow inlets. The water catchment sampled flows into Hickmans Harbour and is sheltered within a narrow, heavily forested valley. Most sites were in rural areas used for forestry and limited farming.

Four sites were sampled on the isthmus of the Avalon near Come-by-Chance (47°50'N, 53°56'W; 35 km southwest of Random Island). The Come-by-Chance system had extensive fen and bog development along the water course with patches of stunted coniferous trees in elevated areas.

Seven sites were sampled in the St. John's region (47°34'N, 52°43'W; 85 km east of Come-by-Chance). All sites were within the urbanized areas. The system is somewhat protected from the cold ocean winds by a range of hills along the coast rising to about 250 m above sea level. Originally, the drainage basins were forested predominantly by mixtures of balsam fir, white spruce, and black spruce but have been extensively reduced by urbanization.

Macroinvertebrate sampling

Quantitative macroinvertebrate samples were collected using artificial-substrate samplers made of crab-net bags (ca. 30 cm long, mesh size ca. 1.5 cm) containing 1 L of roadside gravel (ca. 2.5 cm in diameter). Four samplers were placed in close proximity to one

another at each site in the area with the highest current velocity. All four samplers were placed in each outlet in June 1995 and collected, washed, and replaced in July 1995, May 1996, and July 1996. For data analysis, only three of the four artificial-substrate samplers from each site were processed for each sampling date. Samplers were washed several times to remove all macroinvertebrates.

Qualitative data were collected during May and July 1995 and May and July 1996, using a D-frame hand net (mesh size ca. 1 mm). Kick-net samples (Bargos et al. 1990; Whitehurst and Lindsey 1990) were taken in the area of highest current velocity at each site. Sampling was done for 2 min over an area of 2 m². A general sweep around the edges of the outlet was also taken to sample macroinvertebrates present in areas of lowest current velocity. Current velocity was measured in the fastest flowing area of each outlet, using an Ott current meter held ca. 2.5 cm above the substrate.

In the laboratory, macroinvertebrates from quantitative samples were identified and counted using a dissecting microscope. For each date, data from three artificial-substrate samplers were pooled for each site and recorded as quantitative data (defined as abundance or number of individuals). The sweep-net samples were examined quickly to identify any macroinvertebrates not collected in the artificial-substrate samplers. Qualitative data (defined as richness or presence/absence) were derived from the data from both the artificial-substrate sampler and the sweep net. Since there were no quantitative samples for May 1995, all organisms were removed from the sweep-net samples and identified.

Macroinvertebrates were identified to the lowest taxonomic level possible (preferably species) using various published keys (Flint 1962; Morihiro and McCafferty 1979; Marshall and Larson 1982; Peckarsky et al. 1990; Merritt and Cummins 1996; Wiggins 1996; D.J. Larson, unpublished data). Taxonomic identifications were confirmed by M. Colbo and (or) D.J. Larson of Memorial University of Newfoundland. Taxonomic lists consist of benthic macroinvertebrates identified at various taxonomic levels, and the level of identification for each taxon was consistent among sites.

Statistical analysis

Analysis of variance (ANOVA) was performed using the General Linear Model (GLM) to test whether the four study locations differed significantly ($p < 0.05$) with respect to various EPT diversity and abundance measures. If residuals did not meet the assumptions of the ANOVA model, randomization tests were performed. Fisher's pairwise comparisons were used to determine which study sites and regions were significantly different for those EPT diversity and abundance measures that differed significantly among study sites or regions. Statistical analyses were performed using Minitab Release 11.

Results

Richness and abundance of EPT taxa

A total of 51 taxa were collected from 23 lake-outlet sites (Table 1). Of the 18 genera and 28 species of Ephemeroptera known to occur in Newfoundland (Larson and Colbo 1983), 11 genera and 13 species were identified in the present study. Thirteen plecopteran species in 8 genera occur in Newfoundland (Larson and Colbo 1983); 3 genera and 2 species were recorded in the present study. Of the 46 genera and 124 species of Trichoptera that occur in Newfoundland (Marshall and Larson 1982), 21 genera and 17 species were recorded in the present study. Both taxon richness and abundance were dominated by Trichoptera, particularly Hydropsychidae.

Table 1. Ephemeroptera, Plecoptera, and Trichoptera (EPT) recorded at 23 lake-outlet sites at Bonavista, Random Island, Come-by-

	Bonavista								Random Island				
	B1	B2	B3	B6	B7	B8	B18	R9	R10	R11	R13	R19	
Ephemeroptera													
Baetidae													
<i>Acerpenna macdunnoughi</i>	+									+	+	+	
<i>Acerpenna pygmaeus</i>	++	++	-				+				+++		
<i>Baetis flavistriga</i>											++		
<i>Baetis tricaudatus</i>	+							+			++++		
Baetidae spp.	+							++					
Caenidae													
<i>Caenis simulans</i>													
Ephemerellidae													
<i>Drunella cornuta</i>								++			+	+	
<i>Ephemerella subvaria</i>	++	-			+		+			++	+		
<i>Eurylophella prudentialis</i>	+	+	-		+	+	+	-			+		
Heptageniidae													
<i>Epeorus pleuralis</i>											-		
<i>Heptagenia hebe</i>											++		
<i>Stenonema vicarium</i>								+			+	+	
Leptophlebiidae													
<i>Habrophlebia vibrans</i>			++								-	+	
<i>Leptophlebia cupida</i>	++	+	+	++	++	+	+	+	++++	+	-	++	
<i>Paraleptophlebia</i> spp.		+	++++		+	++	+	+	++	+++	+++	++	
Leptophlebiidae spp.	++		+++	++	++++	+	+	+	++		++		
Plecoptera													
Leuctridae													
<i>Leuctra</i> spp.	++++	+	++++	++	+	+	+	++	++	+++	++	-	
Nemouridae													
<i>Nemoura macdunnoughi</i>	++	-	+	++++	+	-	++	++	-	+	+++	-	
Perlodidae													
<i>Isoperla transmarina</i>	++	-	++			+		++		++	++		
Unidentified Plecoptera													
								++++					
Trichoptera													
Hydropsychidae													
<i>Cheumatopsyche pettiti</i>	+++++	+++++	+++	+++++	++++	+++++	++++	+++++	+++++	++++		++++	
<i>Hydropsyche alternans</i>					+	+	+	++++		+	+++	+	
<i>Hydropsyche betteni</i>	+++++	+++++	++++	++++	++++	+++++	+++++	+++++	+++++	+++	-	++++	
<i>Hydropsyche slossonae</i>		-			+		+	++		+	+		
<i>Hydropsyche sparna</i>	++++	+++++	++	+++	++++	+++++	+++	+++	++++	+	++	++	
Hydropsychidae spp.	+++++	++++	++++	++++	+++	+++++	+++++	+++++	+++++	+++++	++++		
Philopotamidae													
<i>Chimarra</i> sp.	+	+	+++++	+++++	+++++	+++++	-	++++	+++++	+++	+++++	+++++	
<i>Dolophilodes distinctus</i>										+	+++++		
Philopotamidae spp.							++++						
Polycentropodidae													
<i>Polycentropus</i> spp.	+++	+	+	++	++++	+	+	-	++	+	+	-	
Lepidostomatidae													
<i>Lepidostoma</i> spp.	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	+	+	-	+	
Leptoceridae													
<i>Ceralcea</i> sp.	+			+	+++	+		+	+			+	
<i>Mystacides sepulchralis</i>						-			+	-	-		
<i>Oecetis</i> sp.						-		-	+		+		
Limnephilidae													
<i>Limnephilus</i> spp.	-	-		-	-				+		-		
<i>Nemotaulius hostilis</i>	-	-		-	-	+	-	-	+	-	-		
<i>Neophylax</i> spp.			-						++	+	-	++	
<i>Platycentropus</i> sp.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
<i>Pycnopsyche</i> spp.	-	-	+	+	-	+	+	-	++	+	+	+++	
Limnephilidae spp.													

Chance, and St. John's, Newfoundland.

Come-by-Chance				St. John's						
C14	C15	C16	C17	S20	S21	S22	S23	S24	S25	S26
	++++		+							
	++		+							
		+	++	+	+		+++		++++	
					-			+		
			++							
+	-	+	+		++		+		++	
-	+		+							
-	++		+			+				
++	++	++	+	+	-	++	-	+	-	++
+	++	+	+						-	
+	+		+					++		
+	++	+	-							
	+	+	-	++	+	+			+	
	++	+	+	++	+		+		+++	
++++	++++	+++++	+++	+++++	+++++			++++	++++	++
	++	+	++	++			+	-	+++++	
++	+++++	++++	++	+++++	+++	++++	+	+	++++	
		+	+				+		++++	
	++++	++	+	++			+		+++	
+++	++++	++++	+++++		+++++	++++	+++	++++	++++	
	++++	+	+	++++	++++		-	-	+++++	
	+		+					-		
+	-							++		
	-	+	-	+	+			-	-	
	+		+						-	
		-					-	++		+
								+		+
		-		-	+			-	-	-
	-			+					-	-
-	-		-							
-	-	-	-	+		-			-	++
		+								

Table 1 (concluded).

	Bonavista								Random Island				
	B1	B2	B3	B6	B7	B8	B18	R9	R10	R11	R13	R19	
Molannidae													
<i>Molanna</i> sp.									-			-	
Odontoceridae													
<i>Psilotreta frontalis</i>									+			-	
Phryganeidae													
<i>Banksiola</i> sp.						-	-						
Glossosomatidae													
<i>Glossosoma</i> sp.											+		
Hydroptilidae													
<i>Hydroptila metoeca</i>	+	+		+	+	++	+	+	++	+	-	+	
<i>Oxytheria</i> sp.	++++	++	++++	+	++++	++++	+	++	++		++	+	
Rhyacophilidae													
<i>Rhyacophila carolina</i>										-	-		
<i>Rhyacophila fuscula</i>	+	+								-	+	+++	
<i>Rhyacophila invaria</i>									+			+	
<i>Rhyacophila melita</i>										+	+		
<i>Rhyacophila minor</i>									-	+			
<i>Rhyacophila nigrita</i>													
<i>Rhyacophila vibox</i>												+	
<i>Rhyacophila</i> spp.										+			
Diversity	24	22	18	16	21	25	21	25	27	26	36	26	
Total abundance	5918	4779	5053	3392	3557	7353	1632	9959	8168	1087	4758	1909	

Note: -, taxon present but abundance not recorded; +, 1-9 individuals recorded; ++, 10-49 individuals recorded; +++, 50-99 individuals recorded;

Ephemeroptera

Taxa collected belonged to five families and 12 genera. Only one species per genus was identified, with the exception of the genera *Acerpenna* and *Baetis*: two species were identified for each. *Baetis tricaudatus* was the most widespread and abundant of the four. The family Leptophlebiidae was the most uniformly dispersed and abundant group in most regions, *Leptophlebia cupida* being the only taxon recorded at all sites. This species is the most abundant and widely distributed ephemeropteran in Newfoundland (Larson and Colbo 1983). *Paraleptophlebia* spp., and *Eurylophella prudentialis* were common in all regions except St. John's, whereas *B. tricaudatus* was more common at St. John's sites and *Caenis simulans* occurred only at St. John's sites. Representatives of the family Heptageniidae occurred at Random Island and Come-by-Chance sites only, and in very low numbers. Members of the family Ephemerellidae were widespread but never exceeded 10-49 individuals per taxon at any site.

Plecoptera

Three plecopteran taxa were recorded in the present study, each belonging to a different family. Individuals of the genus *Leuctra* could not be identified to species level. All three taxa were relatively widespread across sites, occurring at 14-19 of the 23 sites, although *Leuctra* spp. did not occur at St. John's sites. Abundance was dominated by *Leuctra* spp.

Trichoptera

Trichopteran taxa recorded in the present study belonged to 12 families and 21 genera. Most taxa could not be identified beyond genus. Of those identified to species, only one

taxon was identified for each genus except *Hydropsyche* and *Rhyacophila*. The most widely dispersed and abundant group of Trichoptera were the hydropsychids, the abundance of which often reached 1000+ individuals per taxon per site. Other dominant taxa were of the families Philopotamidae and Hydroptilidae. The abundance of remaining taxa was low, rarely exceeding more than 10-49 individuals per taxon per site. Rhyacophilidae were common at Random Island and Come-by-Chance sites but rare at Bonavista and St. John's sites. Polycentropodidae were common at Bonavista and Random Island sites but rare at Come-by-Chance and St. John's sites.

Comparison of richness and abundance of EPT taxa amongst study regions

Total and mean taxon richness per site were highest at Random Island and lowest at St. John's for all three insect orders (Table 2). ANOVA showed that all richness measures differed significantly among study regions, with the most significant differences between St. John's sites and the remaining three study regions.

Mean ephemeropteran abundance and plecopteran abundance were highest at Random Island and lowest at St. John's (Table 2). Mean trichopteran abundance and total abundance were highest at Bonavista and lowest at Come-by-Chance. ANOVA showed that only total abundance differed significantly among study regions, being significantly higher at Random Island sites than at Come-by-Chance and St. John's sites. Total abundance was also significantly higher at Bonavista sites than at Come-by-Chance sites.

There were also notable differences among sites within regions, based on community structure, further demonstrating

Come-by-Chance				St. John's						
C14	C15	C16	C17	S20	S21	S22	S23	S24	S25	S26
				-						
				-						
	+++	+	+	+	+			+		
+++	++++	++	+	+	++	++		++		
	-		+							
			++	+	+		+		++	
	+		+							
			++							
	+		+							
-	+		++				+		++++	
14	28	19	32	19	14	6	10	14	18	7
384	2454	1413	763	4344	3334	600	180	636	5164	39

++++, 100–499 individuals recorded; +++++, 500+ individuals recorded.

that differences in aquatic community structure could be detected across a small area with a reduced fauna (Table 1). For example, at Bonavista, site B6 had very low taxon richness and abundance. Of the Random Island sites, R13 had comparatively high taxon richness. Both Come-by-Chance sites and St. John's sites had a wide range of taxon richness, from 14 to 32 at Come-by-Chance and from 6 to 19 at St. John's.

Discussion

Overall, taxon richness was low compared with similar studies on the North American mainland (Frison 1935; Ricker 1947; Burks 1953; Wiggins and Mackay 1978; Peterson and van Eeckhaute 1990; Burian and Gibbs 1991), including those carried out in northern boreal regions (Sprules 1947; Singh et al. 1984; Harper 1989). However, richness of EPT fauna was similar to that found by Stirling and Clemens (1986; 42 taxa) in the Waterford River System in St. John's, Newfoundland, and by Clarke and Scruton (1997; 26 taxa) in a survey of riffle communities in 20 Newfoundland rivers.

Taxonomic lists and distributions were comparable to those reported by Larson and Colbo (1983). Those authors described most taxa recorded in the present study as having a general distribution across the island, with the exception of *Rhyacophila*. Five of the nine species of this genus on the island were believed to be restricted to the Long Range Mountains in the western portion of the island; however, seven species were recorded in the present study. Therefore, *Rhyacophila* species are more broadly distributed than was previously believed.

Most taxa in the present study were previously observed at outflows (Larson and Colbo 1983), with a small number of exceptions. These include the ephemeropterans *Epeorus pleuralis*, *Stenonema vicarium*, *Paraleptophlebia* spp., and *C. simulans* and the trichopterans *Cheumatopsyche pettiti*, *Chimarra* sp., *Dolophilodes distinctus*, *Lepidostoma* spp., *Nemotaulius hostilis*, *Neopyhlax* spp., *Platycentropus* sp., and *Psilotreta frontalis*, all of which have a lotic distribution except *C. simulans*. This taxon is primarily lentic but does occur in areas of flowing water (Larson and Colbo 1983). No new species were reported in the present study.

The present data support the hypothesis that regional differences in the composition of aquatic insect communities can be detected on the island of Newfoundland despite the reduced insect fauna dominated by widespread taxa. Differences in taxon richness and abundance among regions, and among sites within regions, were sufficient to show regional richness and abundance patterns. For example, Random Island had the richest fauna and highest recorded abundance, although faunal composition and abundance differed markedly among sites. In contrast, site C14 at Come-by-Chance had the lowest richness and abundance outside the urbanized St. John's region. St. John's sites generally had the most reduced taxon richness, although richness and abundance at four sites remained relatively high. These patterns were evident even when the comparison was restricted to four regions within 120 km of St. John's in eastern Newfoundland. These findings support the argument of Vinson and Hawkins (1998) that although regional effect has not often been taken into account when comparing habitats, it is clearly necessary.

Our results indicate that lake-outlet habitats in Newfoundland have diverse EPT faunas relative to the total fauna of

Table 2. Results of ANOVAs (*p* values) and Fisher's pairwise comparisons for EPT richness and abundance measures (0.05 significance level).

	<i>N</i>	Total	Mean ± SD	<i>p</i> *
Richness				
Ephemeroptera				
Bonavista	7	8	4.14±1.68 ab	<u>0.007</u>
Random Island	5	13	6.60±3.78 a	
Come-by-Chance	4	10	6.50±2.65 a	
St. John's	7	6	2.57±1.13 b	
Plecoptera				
Bonavista	7	3	2.57±0.53 a	0.006
Random Island	5	3	2.60±0.55 a	
Come-by-Chance	4	3	2.50±1.00 a	
St. John's	7	2	1.14±0.90 b	
Trichoptera				
Bonavista	7	23	14.29±2.69 a	0.002
Random Island	5	30	18.80±2.28 b	
Come-by-Chance	4	24	14.25±5.19 ab	
St. John's	7	22	9.14±4.10 c	
Total richness				
Bonavista	7	34	21.00±3.16 a	0.001
Random Island	5	46	28.00±4.53 b	
Come-by-Chance	4	37	23.00±8.60 ab	
St. John's	7	30	12.86±4.98 c	
Abundance				
Ephemeroptera				
Bonavista	7	711	101.57±323.60 a	0.542
Random Island	5	854	170.8±382.55 a	
Come-by-Chance	4	436	109±272.94 a	
St. John's	7	407	58.14±255.39 a	
Plecoptera				
Bonavista	7	650	92.86±307.94 a	<u>0.146</u>
Random Island	5	490	98±220.14 a	
Come-by-Chance	4	69	17.25±53.08 a	
St. John's	7	100	14.29±61.54 a	
Trichoptera				
Bonavista	7	30 323	4331.86±4948.22 a	<u>0.056</u>
Random Island	5	24 537	4907.4±8509.38 a	
Come-by-Chance	4	4 509	1127.25±1545.34 a	
St. John's	7	13 790	1970±5553.88 a	
Total abundance				
Bonavista	7	31 684	4526±1865 ab	<u>0.030</u>
Random Island	5	25 881	5176±3853 b	
Come-by-Chance	4	5 014	1254±906 c	
St. John's	7	14 297	2042±2170 ac	

Note: Values followed by the same letter are not significantly different.

*Boldface type denotes residuals that met the assumptions of the ANOVA model; underlined values were randomized.

the island. Although Plecoptera were poorly represented at the outlets, species number is known to decrease from west to east, with only five species previously recorded on the eastern Avalon Peninsula (Larson and Colbo 1983). Furthermore, Newfoundland outlets conform to the general pattern of outlet communities summarized by Richardson and Mackay (1991). Taxon richness was dominated by Trichoptera, particularly filter-feeders, at all sites, a finding similar to those of Richardson and Mackay (1991) and Townsend et al. (1983).

Only one other Newfoundland study of aquatic insect communities exists for comparison, therefore we cannot determine whether insect communities in Newfoundland outlets are indeed unique. Clarke and Scruton (1997) studied aquatic insect communities of riffle areas in 20 rivers across the island. Fewer ephemeropteran and trichopteran genera were recorded than in the present study, and plecopterans were identified to order only. Eight ephemeropteran genera were reported compared with 12 in the present study; 6 of these were observed in both studies. Clarke and Scruton (1997) recorded 17 trichopteran genera compared with 21 in the present study; 12 of these were recorded in both. There were also notable differences in dominance and frequency of taxa between the two studies. Several genera including *Acerpenna*, *Baetis*, *Cheumatopsyche*, *Leptophlebia*, and *Chimarra* were widespread throughout the outlets but occurred infrequently or were absent from the riffle sites. None of the five limnephilid genera recorded at the outlets were present at the riffle sites, where only one limnephilid genus was recorded. Genera more widespread at the riffle sites included *Heptagenia*, *Habrophlebia*, *Dolophilodes*, and *Glossosoma*.

Although we cannot conclude that outlet insect communities are indeed unique, the data do show that outlets are useful for comparative studies. This is beneficial, as outlets may offer easier access and more uniform sampling across sites than lentic areas or areas farther downstream. Furthermore, because this is the first extensive comparative study of Newfoundland outlet communities, it provides a base-line measure for comparing the results of future studies of Newfoundland aquatic insects, particularly at outlets.

Acknowledgments

Funding for this research was received from the Tri-Council Eco-Research Program, a federally funded program administered by the Social Sciences and Humanities Research Council of Canada, the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada, and the Medical Research Council. We also gratefully acknowledge the assistance of José Perez and Christopher Cutler and the helpful suggestions of Roger Pickavance during the preparation of the manuscript.

References

- Bargos, T., Mesanza, J.M., Basaguren, A., and Drive, E. 1990. Assessing river water quality by means of multifactorial methods using macroinvertebrates: a comparative study of main water courses of Biscay. *Water Res.* **24**: 1–10.
- Bronmark, C., and Malmqvist, B. 1984. Spatial and temporal patterns of lake outlet benthos. *Verh. Int. Ver. Theor. Angew. Limnol.* **22**: 1986–1991.
- Burian, S.K., and Gibbs, K.E. 1991. Mayflies of Maine: an annotated faunal list. *Maine Agric. Exp. Stn. Tech. Bull.* No. 109.
- Burks, B.D. 1953. The mayflies, or Ephemeroptera, of Illinois. *Bull. Ill. Nat. Hist. Surv.* **26**: 1–216.
- Clarke, K.D., and Scruton, D.A. 1997. The benthic community of stream riffles in Newfoundland, Canada and its relationship to selected physical and chemical parameters. *J. Freshwater Ecol.* **12**: 113–121.
- Colbo, M.H. 1985. Variation in larval black fly populations at three sites in a stream system over five years (Diptera: Simuliidae). *Hydrobiologia*, **121**: 77–82.

- Flint, O.S., Jr. 1962. Larvae of the caddis fly genus *Rhyacophila* in eastern North America (Trichoptera: Rhyacophilidae). *Proc. U.S. Natl. Mus.* **113**: 465–493.
- Frison, T.H. 1935. The stoneflies, or Plecoptera, of Illinois. *Bull. Ill. Nat. Hist. Surv.* **20**: 281–471.
- Genge, P.D. 1985. The ecology of the net-spinning caddisflies in a Newfoundland lake outlet. M.Sc. thesis, Memorial University of Newfoundland, St. John's.
- Giller, P.S., Hildrew, A.G., and Raffaelli, D.G. 1994. Aquatic ecology—scale, pattern and process. Blackwell Scientific Publications, Oxford.
- Harper, P.P. 1989. Zoogeographical relationships of aquatic insects (Ephemeroptera, Plecoptera and Trichoptera) from eastern James Bay drainage. *Can. Field-Nat.* **103**: 535–546.
- Hildrew, A.G., and Giller, P.S. 1994. Patchiness, species interactions and disturbance in stream benthos. In *Aquatic ecology—scale, pattern and process*. Edited by P.S. Giller, A.G. Hildrew, and D.G. Raffaelli. Blackwell Scientific Publications, Oxford. pp. 21–62.
- Larson, D.J., and Colbo, M.H. 1983. The aquatic insects: biogeographic considerations. In *Biogeography and ecology of the island of Newfoundland*. Edited by R. South. Dr. W. Junk Publisher, The Hague. pp. 593–677.
- Lewis, D.J., and Bennett, G.F. 1974. The blackflies (Diptera: Simuliidae) of insular Newfoundland. II. Seasonal succession and abundance in a complex of small streams on the Avalon Peninsula. *Can. J. Zool.* **52**: 1107–1113.
- Lomond, T.M. 1997. Can a naturally impoverished boreal Ephemeroptera, Plecoptera, and Trichoptera (EPT) fauna serve as an indicator of water quality? M.Sc. thesis, Memorial University of Newfoundland, St. John's.
- Marshall, J.S., and Larson, D.J. 1982. The adult caddisflies (Trichoptera: Insecta) of insular Newfoundland. *Mem. Univ. Nfld. Occas. Pap. Biol.* No. 6.
- McCreadie, J.W., and Colbo, M.H. 1991. Spatial distribution patterns of larval cytotypes of the *Simulium venustum/verecundum* complex (Diptera: Simuliidae) on the Avalon Peninsula, Newfoundland: factors associated with occurrence. *Can. J. Zool.* **69**: 2651–2659.
- McCreadie, J.W., and Colbo, M.H. 1992. Spatial distribution patterns of larval cytotypes of the *Simulium venustum/verecundum* complex (Diptera: Simuliidae) on the Avalon Peninsula, Newfoundland: factors associated with cytotypic abundance and composition. *Can. J. Zool.* **70**: 1389–1396.
- McCreadie, J.W., Adler, P.H., and Colbo, M.H. 1995. Community structure of larval black flies (Diptera: Simuliidae) from the Avalon Peninsula, Newfoundland. *Ann. Entomol. Soc. Am.* **88**: 51–57.
- Merritt, R.W., and Cummins, K.W. 1996. An introduction to the aquatic insects of North America. 3rd ed. Kendall–Hunt Publishing Co., Dubuque, Iowa.
- Morihara, D.K., and McCafferty, W.P. 1979. The *Baetis* larvae of North America (Ephemeroptera: Baetidae). *Trans. Am. Entomol. Soc.* **105**: 139–221.
- Peckarsky, B.L., Fraissinet, P.R., Penton, M.A., and Conklin, D.J., Jr. 1990. Freshwater macroinvertebrates of northeastern United States. Cornell University Press, Ithaca, N.Y.
- Peterson, R.H., and van Eeckhaute, L. 1990. Distributions of stonefly (Plecoptera) and caddisfly (Trichoptera) species in three stream systems in New Brunswick and Nova Scotia, Canada, with reference to stream acidity. *Can. Tech. Rep. Fish. Aquat. Sci.* No. 1720.
- Richardson, J.S., and R.J. Mackay. 1991. Lake outlets and the distribution of filter feeders: an assessment of hypotheses. *Oikos*, **62**: 370–380.
- Ricker, W. 1947. Stoneflies of the maritime provinces and Newfoundland. *Trans. R. Can. Inst.* **26**: 401–414.
- Singh, M.P., Smith, S.M., and Harrison, A.D. 1984. Emergence of some caddisflies (Trichoptera) from a wooded stream in southern Ontario. *Hydrobiologia*, **122**: 223–232.
- Sprules, W.M. 1947. An ecological investigation of stream insects in Algonquin Park, Ontario. *Univ. Toronto Stud. Biol. Ser.* No. 56.
- Stirling, B., and Clemens, T. 1986. Urban hydrology study of the Waterford River Basin. *Biological Study Tech. Rep. No. UHS-WRB 1.8*, Department of Environment Water Resources Division, St. John's, Nfld.
- Townsend, C.R., Hildrew, A.G., and Francis, J. 1983. Community structure in some southern English streams: the influence of physicochemical factors. *Freshwater Biol.* **13**: 521–544.
- Vinson, M.R., and Hawkins, C.P. 1998. Biodiversity of stream insects: variation at local, basin and regional scales. *Annu. Rev. Entomol.* **43**: 271–293.
- Whitehurst, I.T., and Lindsey, B.I. 1990. The impact of organic enrichment on the benthic macroinvertebrate communities of a Lowland river. *Water Res.* **24**: 625–630.
- Wiggins, G.B. 1996. Larvae of the North American caddisfly genera (Trichoptera). 2nd ed. University of Toronto Press, Toronto.
- Wiggins, G.B., and Mackay, R.J. 1978. Some relationships between systematics and trophic ecology in Nearctic aquatic insects, with special reference to Trichoptera. *Ecology*, **59**: 1211–1220.